

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,522	TINGLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141	

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE

(1) Nicholas R. Taylor.

(3) _____

(2) Ansel M. Schwartz, Reg. 30,587.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 March 2006

Time: 1pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to add newly added limitations of independent claims 1 and 8 to remaining independent claim 15 for consistency.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)